

**TOWN OF SOMERS  
CONSERVATION BOARD  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
JULY 12, 2011**

The June 12, 2011 regular meeting of the Conservation Board was called to order by Chairman Gary Meixner.

Attendance: Shoshana Hantman, Michael La Gue, Dr. Edward Merker,  
James Moriarty, Gary Meixner

Absent: John Purcell

**Guests: None**

**Announcements:**

The Town Board appointed Mr. Stephen W. Flynn, Esq. to participate as a member of the Conservation Board for a two-year term replacing Eric Evans to a term ending March 15, 2012. He was appointed at the Town Board meeting held on July 7, 2011 and the resolution is dated July 8, 2011.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011**

Page 2

**Approval of Minutes:**

A motion was made by Shoshana Hantman and seconded by James Moriarty to approve the minutes of the June 28, 2011 regular meeting of the Conservation Board. All members present approved.

Board member James Moriarty amended the Conservation Board Minutes of June 14, 2011 on page 8 and 9.

Board member Michael La Gue amended the Conservation Board Minutes of June 14, 2011 on page 9.

**Old Business:**

- A)** Conservation Board Member/the Town Board appointed one new member to join the Board/Mr. Stephen W. Flynn, Esq.: (GM)  
The Board sent a memo (#11-29) to the Town Board requesting that they try to procure an additional member for the Conservation Board. At the last meeting the Conservation Board discussed the need for one new Board member to replace the vacant seat left by Eric Evans at the end of April 2011.

C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis advised the Board members that the Town Board appointed a new member to the Conservation Board. She said that his name is Stephen Flynn, Esq. and specified that he was not able to get sworn in before tonight so that he could attend the meeting (as he was just appointed at the end of last week).

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the new appointee. The members were delighted that the Town Board acted so quickly in replacing Board member Evans and they mentioned that they are looking forward to meeting Mr. Flynn.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 3**

**Old Business:**

**A) Conservation Board Member:**

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**B) Heritage Hills of Westchester/Sewage Treatment Plant/Site Plan/Planning Board; Map of Visitors Center-Model Area dated March 26, 1973, revised April 3, 1973; Prepared by Alexander Bunney Land Surveyor, PC; Re-Subdivision Map dated February 14, 2011; Prepared by Bunney Associates Land Surveyors, Preliminary Subdivision-Abbreviated Procedure; Letter to Planning Board dated 2-11-11; Applicant to subdivide 18.582 acre parcel into two parcels; Lot-1A/7.571 ac Lot-1B/11.011 ac; transfer 9 acre parcel with sewage treatment plant to Heritage Hills Sewage-Works Corp.; R-40 & DRD; Application; Short EAF; Site Plan-Parcel 1 & 2; Re-subdivision Map of Parcel 1 dated 2-14-11; Section 17.10, Block 10, Lot 18, tabled/hold-C.B., (Rte. 202 & Heritage Hills Drive): (ML)**

The Conservation Board reviewed the above application for Heritage Hills Sewage Treatment Plant re-subdivision at their meeting on March 8, 2011 and a memo (#11-14 dated March 18, 2011) was sent to the Planning Board stating the C.B. concerns and recommendations. The Board discussed the application at their meeting tonight.

C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis informed the Board members that the final subdivision plan for Heritage Hills Sewage Treatment Plant was available in the Planning office, however the C.B. did not receive a copy of the document. She asked if the Board members would be interested in seeing the final version of the subdivision map that they had commented on several months ago.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 4**

**Old Business:**

**B) Heritage Hills of Westchester:**

The Board members all agreed that they would be interested in seeing the final version of the Heritage Hills re-subdivision plan for which they have some concerns with regards to the wetland delineation boundaries.

The Conservation Board discussed this issue at the last meeting. A memo had been submitted to the Planning Board requesting a revised wetland map of the area that is being subdivided. This was in order to address the C.B. issues that were noted by their site inspection of the Heritage Hills Sewage Treatment Plant re-subdivision review process.

The Board members had put forth questions concerning the lake on the corner of Warren Street as well as the proposed buffer areas and were awaiting a response from the Planning Board.

Chairman Meixner said that if Ms. Davis could call the Planning Board in the morning then maybe they could have a copy of the plan ready for him in the afternoon when he would be able to stop by and pick it up.

Some discussion ensued between the Board members and Ms. Davis with reference to receiving documents from the Planning office.

Ms. Davis advised that she would contact the Planning office tomorrow morning with the Chair's request.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 5**

**Old Business:**

- C)** Critical Environmental Areas in the Town of Somers/Discussion/Report;  
Board member Hantman will be looking into this subject matter on  
behalf of the Board: (SH)

The Conservation Board is in the process of compiling research on environmentally sensitive areas in Town with the purpose of establishing Critical Environmental Areas.

Board member Shoshana Hantman informed the Board that she attended a work session on July 7 involving the Open Space Committee and Somers Land Trust. She explained that they are completing the third stage of their bio mapping of Somers and noted that she was very impressed by their diligence and dedication.

Ms. Hantman specified that during the meeting she observed two biologists looking through this stereo scope (3-D pictures) and calling out to the individuals who are transcribing on plastic sheets in order to determine wetlands, hardwood forests, etc.

She advised that they were looking at two different images of aerial photos that were taken by the State and identifying every water body, wetland, etc. while making their designation based on the findings. She advised that eventually these areas would have to be walked by the Committee for verification purposes.

Ms. Hantman specified that the Open Space Committee is working on a complete biological habitat map of Somers and that it would take some time to complete.

Board member Michael La Gue inquired about the OSC with regards to the beaver site that he had located near the Kennedy High School off Rte. 138.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 6**

**Old Business:**

**C) Critical Environmental Areas:**

Board member Hantman said that she mentioned the site to the Open Space Committee and it may be incorporated into the plans, but she was not sure.

Chairman Meixner inquired about the documentation being displayed on I-maps (3-D).

Ms. Hantman said that she did not know but noted that eventually all of this information would be available on line when the Committee has completed the documentation. She informed the Board that during the work session Michael Barnhart and Loretta Jones were the key people involved in this endeavor and it was most impressive.

Ms. Davis inquired about the time-line for availability to view the mapping.

Ms. Hantman responded that it would be some time yet as there was much work to be done.

She advised that the information provided at that meeting would be very useful to her while she is preparing her work on compiling information and developing Critical Environmental Areas (CEA's) in the Town of Somers.

Some discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the subject matter of CEA's and the upcoming meeting.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 7**

**Old Business:**

- D)** Homeland Towers, LLC/New Cingular Wireless PCS/LLC (AT&T); Santaroni/2580 Rte. 35; Site Plan/Planning Board; Section 37.13, Block 2, Lot 3; Letter of Authorization/Ch. 67 Application Processing Restrictive Law/Memo dated 4-14-11 from R. Gaudio, Esq., Color Constraints Map – Soil Types/CC-1; Color Constraints Map – Wetlands & Steep Slopes/CC-2; Prepared by Synder & Synder, LLP; (#2580 Rte. 35; Santaroni): (JP)

The Conservation Board will review the above Planning Board application for Homeland Towers, LLC/New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T); Santaroni/2580 Rte. 35, site plan, color constraints map, soil types, wetlands and steep slopes at their next meeting.

Board member John Purcell will review the materials submitted perform a site inspection of the property and give a report to the Board.

As Mr. Purcell was not able to attend the meeting tonight further discussion on this application will be tabled until July 26.

A brief discussion took place among the Board members with reference to this application.

C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis said that she tried to find out if Board member Purcell is going to attend a meeting and give a review or maybe she can pick up the application at his house but she did not get a response.

Chairman Meixner said that he would be giving John a call.

A report will be forthcoming at the next Conservation Board meeting.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 8**

**Old Business:**

- E)** Sussmann Mobil Station/Rte. 100 Realty, LLC/Amended Site Plan/Planning Board; Revised Construction Plans dated June 15, 2011; Landscaping Plan/LP, Prepared by Bibbo Associates, LLC, (Rte.100/across from IBM): (ML)  
The Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for Sussmann Mobil Station/Rte. 100 Realty LLC amended site plan and landscaping plan at their meeting.

Board member Michael La Gue reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and gave a report to the Board.

\*

Report:

- Board member Michael La Gue noted that he performed a site inspection of the property and made some color highlights on the plans he reviewed.
- He pointed out the location of the stone wall (near the retention pond) and the area that exhibited the lake below on the plans submitted. He informed the Board that the applicant would continue to construct a berm around the retention basin.
- Mr. La Gue explained that the site exhibits a drain with water flowing and he did not know where the water was coming from or if the drain was an existing drain. He questioned the possibilities of where this water could be originating.

Chairman Meixner said that the drain is existing on the site and could be coming from the road or elsewhere on the parcel.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 9**

**Old Business:**

**E) Sussmann Mobil Station/Landscape:**

Board member La Gue said that it is impossible to trace solely from observation. He advised that essentially the applicant is enclosing the retention basin in stone walls (by extending them), which is a good thing.

The Chair inquired about the size of the drain.

Mr. La Gue said that it was about an eight-inch drain.

The Chair advised that it could be a footing drain.

- Mr. La Gue agreed and said that he would like to know where that drain is coming from and whether or not it is clean water, as the information is not revealed on the landscaping plans submitted.

Ms. Davis asked where it was located.

The Chair specified that the water draining from the pipe is coming from the northwest corner of the property.

- The applicant has increased/extended the pavement 3-ft to facilitate the loading area for the trucks said Mr. La Gue. He was wondering why this was not extended to the end of the parcel making it a straight line (instead of the designated area going back in like a semi circle). He thought it would look better if it were a straight line.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 10**

**Old Business:**

**E) Sussmann Mobil Station/Landscape:**

- Board member La Gue explained to the Board members that the applicant proposed an eight-foot wide berm around the retention pond to hold the water. He advised that the berm appears to be flat on top (like a road). All of the additional material/soil would have to be back-filled onto the property he said noting that it is quite a lot of soil.
- He noted that the applicant did not propose any plantings in the area of the retention pond on the plan submitted the plan exhibits that the area around the retention pond will be composed of grass.

C.B. Secretary asked if the berm was so that the retention pond could be cleaned out.

Mr. La Gue said that presumably that could take place.

Some discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the berm and whether or not it was eight-foot wide (to allow vehicular movement).

- Board member La Gue said that this is an economical planting plan. The applicant is using two plants, *Acer rubrum* (red maple (not to be confused with Japanese)/native tree) and *Hydrangea* (none-native/deer eat them).
- The planting plan appears to be denser on the side of the nearby roadway (north side) and less dense on the steep slope (west side) behind the station he said. He commented that it would look better if the plantings were even on both sides.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 11**

**Old Business:**

**E) Sussmann Mobil Station/Landscape:**

Also, Mr. La Gue advised that the deer would eat the Hydrangea quite quickly and then there would be no plantings left in the proposed area for that plant.

- Board member La Gue explained that the installation of the trees is proposed to involve wooden stakes instead of the root ball anchors belting them into the ground (the latter of which is the better way to install them).

\*\*

Board member Moriarty inquired about how long the stakes are needed to support the tree and asked if they stay in about one year.

Mr. La Gue responded that they last for about three years and then they will rot. However, the belting stays indefinitely with a nylon belt for support. It does not pull out of the ground he said.

Ms. Hantman inquired about the purpose of the belt.

Mr. La Gue responded that it is mainly to protect the movement of the tree from the wind.

Some discussion ensued among the Board members regarding the information provided and what they would like to appear in the memo to the Planning Board.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 12**

**Old Business:**

**E) Sussmann Mobil Station/Landscape:**

Board member La Gue asked that the memo be sent to him as a draft and he would revise it before sending it off to the Planning Board.

The Board members agreed.

\*

A memo (#11-35) will be sent to the Planning Board stating that the Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for Sussmann Mobil Station, Rte. 100 Realty, LLC, landscaping plan at their meeting on July 12, 2011.

The Board members reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and discussed the application among them.

The C.B. has the following concerns and recommendations:

- 1) There is an existing eight-inch pipe draining water into the retention pond.
  - Where is that water originating?
  - Are there proper safeguards in place to ensure that only clean water is entering the pond?
  
- 2) According to the landscape plan submitted the trees are being supported by wooden stakes, which last only several years.
  - This Board recommends the use of root ball anchors where the tree is belted in for the life of the tree to withstand heavy winds.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 13**

**Old Business:**

**E) Sussmann Mobil Station/Landscape:**

- 3) The planting plan exhibits the majority of the trees and shrubs being planted on the north side of the property along the roadway leading to office complex in rear.
  - The applicant should consider putting at least the same amount of trees and shrubs along the property facing the pond and between the adjoining restaurant.
  - The steep slope facing the pond would be better served with more plantings.
  
- 4) The applicant is planting *Acer rubrum* (red maple) and *Hydrangea*.
  - *Acer rubrum* is a native species and is all right to plant in this area.
  - However, *Hydrangea* is heavily browsed by deer; it would not serve the desired purpose of the applicant.
  - The C.B. would appreciate seeing a more diverse planting plan than the one proposed by the applicant.
  
- 5) Why did the applicant not propose wetland species for the area bordering the retention pond?
  - The plans submitted display only grass around the retention pond.

The Conservation Board will continue to review the Sussmann Mobil Station site plan as revisions are submitted.

\*\*

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011**

Page 14

**Old Business:**

- F)** Sussmann Mobil Station/Rte. 100 Realty, LLC/Amended Site Plan/Planning Board; Revised Construction Plans dated June 15, 2011; Existing Conditions/SP-2; Site Plan "A"/SP-3; Erosion Control & Construction Staging Plan/SP-6; Sand Filter & Other Site Details/SP-7; Additional Site Details/SP-8; Landscaping Plan/LP, Prepared by Bibbo Associates, LLC, (Rte. 100/across from IBM): (GM)  
The Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for Sussmann Mobil Station/Rte. 100 Realty, LLC amended site plan, revised construction plans, erosion control and additional site details at their meeting tonight.

Chairman Gary Meixner the materials submitted performed a site inspection of the property and gave a report to the Board.

\*

Report:

- The Chair noted that formerly the Board was discussing the traffic flow where the applicant would be using the exit as an entrance for the fuel delivery trucks. Now he said that the plans have been changed and the applicant would not be using the exit for an entrance.
- He mentioned that originally there was a problem with the propane tank, but now it has been straightened out and everything proposed is all right.
- The Chair explained that the project has been given the approval of the Planning Board, however the applicant has to wait for paperwork from the DEP regarding the well monitoring system. Once they receive the notification that there no longer needs to be monitoring on the parcel then the applicant can proceed with their plans to improve the site.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 15**

**Old Business:**

**F) Sussmann Mobil Station:**

- He informed the Board that the applicant changed the unloading area of the gasoline and made the asphalt wider by 3-ft. in that area to facilitate ease of movement for the fuel delivery truck to park and make a turn. The Planning Board asked for this change at their meeting he said.
- The Chair mentioned that some letters were sent to the Town from the neighbors nearby stating that they had no problem with the proposed changes.

\*\*

Board member Michael La Gue was wondering why the area that had been made 3-ft. wider for the fuel truck had not been achieved with a straight line. He went on to say that instead the applicant (in accordance with the direction from the Planning Board) proposed a semi-circle. He noted that an architect would usually in most cases make the line 3-ft. wider and then he would carry it to the rear portion of the parking lot so that it looked straight. Why would the applicant be directed to do only a small portion of the asphalt he said?

Chairman Meixner responded that there is a possibility that the Planning Board did not want to make the last parking area larger for some reason, but he did not know the reason why. However, he noted that they did this configuration so that the fuel truck would be able to facilitate the turn without any problems. However, he agreed that it would look better if it were a straight line to the back of the lot.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 16**

**Old Business:**

**F) Sussmann Mobil Station:**

C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis said that she had emailed the Planning Board Secretary Marilyn Murphy with reference to this application and inquired about information from the DOT as was requested at the last meeting. She went on to say that the DOT had not commented on the proposed activity to date.

However, Ms. Davis said that she asked about the exit being used for an entrance for the fuel delivery trucks. She was told that the Planning Board made a resolution (#2011-05) on June 22, 2011 in which they decided to give the applicant a conditional site plan approval at the Planning Board meeting on June 22 and outlined the details in the resolution.

Ms. Davis informed the Board that this resolution was not copied to the Conservation Board and it would have helped in the site plan review if the Board had received this notification before our last meeting.

Board member La Gue noted that in the Planning Board Resolution on page 4 of 10, subsection #5 it states that they received *Memoranda from the Conservation Board dated February 7, 2008, August 21, 2009, May 26, 2010, August 21, 2010, September 15, 2010, October 22, 2010, November 5, 2010, and May 20, 2011*. So he commented that the Planning Board did consider what the C.B. said, but apparently they appeared to dismiss the information.

C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis agreed and said that this document acknowledges that they received our memos, but it does not address whether or not they would incorporate any of our suggestions into the site plan review.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 17**

**Old Business:**

**F) Sussmann Mobil Station:**

Chairman Meixner said that we would have to go back to our memos and see if the Planning Board incorporated any of our suggestions in the site plan review.

Board member La Gue said that he did not remember the contents of each memo.

Ms. Davis said that she had those memos with her tonight if they would like to see them and she produced the memos mentioned in the resolution.

The Board members reviewed the memos at the meeting, however, they do not know if any of the Conservation Board ideas had been incorporated into the plans; it appears that they were not.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this application and the apparent lack of information provided to the Conservation Board. The Board members decided that they would not write a memo to the Planning Board.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**New Business:**

**A) Naclerio/Notice of SEQR Actions-Amended Site Plan Approval, Steep Slopes, Stormwater Management, Erosion-Sediment Control and Tree Preservation Permits; Notice of Designation of Lead Agency issued by the Planning Board/under requirements of SEQRA & Chapter 92 of Somers**

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 18**

**New Business:**

- A)** Town Code; Unlisted Action; CE-ROM has Revised EAF dated 6-27-11; Site Plan/S-1; Site Plan/S-2 dated 5-31-11; Sheet 4.20, Block 1, Lot 7; NS District; Applicant proposed grading & filling/another 6000 S.F. disturbance as well as the original 10,000 S.F. disturbance again and at the same time, Insite Engineering, Surveying & Landscape Architecture PC, (North side of Rte. 6/after Baldwin Place): (JM)

The Conservation Board will review the above Planning Board application for Naclerio site plan, full EAF, steep slopes, stormwater management, erosion-sediment control, grading & filling, tree preservation permit and notice of designation of lead agency at their next meeting.

Mr. Moriarty gave a brief update on the Naclerio application. He explained that the property was located on Rte. 6 near Baldwin Place and formerly it had a violation on site.

He noted that the applicant filled the rear portion of his yard without a permit, knocked down some mature trees and the debris went into the wetland buffer behind the parcel. There is a doctor's office, rental unit in the building he said.

Board member Merker inquired about the application and asked what they were recommending.

Mr. Moriarty went on to say that the applicant resubmitted plans and on the newly engineered plans that the C.B. reviewed the applicant had done a nice job on containing what was taking place in the backyard, if the applicant follows through as planned.

Dr. Merker agreed and noted that he read the memo that was written on the subject matter at the last meeting.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 19**

**New Business:**

**A) Naclerio/Site Plan:**

Board members James Moriarty will review the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and give a report to the Board.

A report will be forthcoming at the next Conservation Board meeting.

**B) Environmental Violation/Dowd dated July 5, 2011; TM-17.08-3-3; working in steep slopes without a permit; Article 148-5B Town Code, (# 14 Deans Bridge Road): (ML)**

The Conservation Board members are in receipt of documentation from the Principal Engineering Technician regarding the above environmental violation for Dowd involving working in steep slopes without a permit.

The Board members acknowledged receipt of the above environmental violation on Deans Bridge Road and discussed the site disturbance among them.

Board member Moriarty said that he was familiar with this site, as it is located in the vicinity of his neighborhood.

He informed the Board that recently (maybe last weekend) his neighbor may have rented heavy equipment and cleared out approximately 60 yards of woods in order to make a new driveway which now goes all the way to the street.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 20**

**New Business:**

**B) NOV/Dowd:**

Mr. Moriarty noted that the recent rain-washed everything all over the place making a real mess. The man cleared a path to the road that involved the destruction of trees and stone walls.

Board member Hantman asked if there were any major trees.

He said that now it is hard to tell, as everything is all piled up in a mound on the resident's property.

Discussion ensued between Ms. Hantman and Mr. Moriarty with reference to the legalities of this disturbance. They both agreed that the activity that took place on this property is against the town law.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**C) Environmental Violation/Cicciarella dated July 1, 2011; TM-16.06-1-61.1; installation of fill within wetland regulated area and conservation easement, Article 167-4B Town Code, (#50 Overhill Road/ Shenorock):  
(ML)**

The Conservation Board members are in receipt of documentation from the Principal Engineering Technician regarding the above environmental violation for Cicciarella in a wetland regulated area/conservation easement.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 21**

**New Business:**

**C) NOV/Cicciarella:**

The Board members acknowledged receipt of the above environmental violation on Overhill Road and discussed the site disturbance among them.

The Board members were wondering where the site was located.

Ms. Davis suggested that the site was probably located off Rte. 118 because the residents living in that area of town all have a Yorktown address.

Dr. Merker commented that someone would be on top of the situation and the residents were sent violation notices.

Board member Michael La Gue said that he requested that this be put on the agenda so that the Board would be aware of the situation and can determine what the remediation should be. In all likelihood there probably is not much that can be said about it at this time he said.

Some discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this environmental violation in Shenorock and it was suggested that someone might want to perform a drive-by site inspection.

Ms. Davis said that the residents would probably come forward with a site plan on what they propose to do.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 22**

**New Business:**

- D)** ELLA (Environmental Leaders Learning Alliance/Report/Michael Rubbo email dated June 30, 2011/re: Mapping of ISF (Invasive Strike Force) throughout the region/volunteers & training needed for Strike Force crew/one day training *Invasive Plant Study* July 10 @ Teatown Reservation/email Linda Rohleder at [rohleder360@gmail.com](mailto:rohleder360@gmail.com): (ML)

The Conservation Board members acknowledge receipt of the above email from Dr. Rubbo regarding the creation of an ISF (invasive strike force) through volunteers and training that would involve one day of training.

Board member Michael La Gue volunteered to be a part of this team being created by ELLA and he attended the training session on July 10 from 9 to 4.

The ELLA training session was conducted by three people from New York-New Jersey Trail Conference; two of whom were PHD candidates at Rutgers University, they are botanists and environmentalists he said.

He went on to say that it was a very intense workshop involving 30 volunteers who propose to find and identify the fourteen most problematic invasive species in this area. He noted that some people came from this area, some from Dutchess County and New Jersey. Everyone was loaned a GPS unit and they assigned each of the thirty people specific trails to cover and perform their search for invasive species.

Board member La Gue said that his assignment is to walk two miles of the green and yellow trail, which is off Reis Park. He informed the Board that they were given until November 1, 2011 to complete their respective assignment. Once the assignment is complete he said then the group could be reassigned to new trails, if they have the time and were amenable.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 23**

**New Business:**

**D) ELLA/ISF:**

Board member Shoshana Hantman and Dr. Edward Merker both agreed that they would like to join Michael La Gue on his search for these invasive species.

Dr. Merker advised that the trail in that area goes to Plum Brook and follows Plum Brook Road, traveling behind the Nursing Home. He mentioned that near the left turn in the road the trail goes straight into the woods.

Mr. La Gue suggested that they email him and let him know when they are available to perform this walk.

Dr. Merker said that they would discuss the issue further after the C.B. meeting tonight.

Board member La Gue continued his report saying that there would be a follow-up meeting and training session that would involve identifying the next twelve most invasive species in this area.

The group would first identify the fourteen worst invasive species (by November 1, 2011) and then they would train to find the next twelve most invasive species, altogether totaling 26.

Chairman Meixner inquired about reed grass being on the list.

Mr. La Gue said that loosestrife was a major invasive species but he did not see reed grass on the list.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
July 12, 2011  
Page 24**

**New Business:**

**D) ELLA/ISF:**

Dr. Merker asked about the names of the vines that were listed as invasive species.

Board member La Gue responded that Japanese honeysuckle vines, Japanese ladder grass, barberries and the tree of heaven are on the list. He explained that ELLA would collect all of this data from the volunteers and then they will pinpoint these areas on a map for future eradication by the local Boy Scout Troops.

Mr. La Gue noted that the course was very worthwhile, with very interesting literature. He advised that it was well planned and had a format similar to attending an adult education course. He commented that it was a very tightly knit course.

Dr. Merker agreed with Mr. La Gue on the nature of the ELLA courses and he complimented them also.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

\*\*\*\*\*

There being no further business to discuss, a motion to adjourn was made at 8:50 PM by Board member Edward Merker and seconded by Board member James Moriarty. All members present approved.

**Conservation Board**  
**Minutes of Meeting**  
**July 12, 2011**  
Page 25

The next regular meeting of the Conservation Board will be held at the Town House on July 26, 2011 at 7:30 PM.

Subsequent Conservation Board meetings are tentatively scheduled to be held at the Town House on August 9, 2011 and August 23, 2011 respectively.

Respectfully submitted,

Rosetta Davis  
Secretary  
Conservation Board

Cc: Town Board  
Town Clerk  
Town Engineer  
Town Planner  
Planning Board  
Zoning Board  
Open Space Committee  
Architectural Review Board