

**TOWN OF SOMERS  
CONSERVATION BOARD  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
MARCH 8, 2011**

The March 8, 2011 regular meeting of the Conservation Board was called to order by Chairman Gary Meixner.

Attendance: Shoshana Hantman, Michael La Gue, Dr. Edward Merker,  
James Moriarty, Gary Meixner

Absent: Eric Evans, John Purcell

**Guests:** None

**Announcements:**

Board member Eric Evans emailed the C.B. Secretary to inform her that he would not be able to attend the meeting tonight.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 2

**Announcements:**

Board member John Purcell informed the C.B. Secretary by phone that he would attend the meeting tonight, but was not present at the meeting.

**Approval of Minutes:**

A motion was made by Michael La Gue and seconded by James Moriarty to approve the minutes of the February 22, 2011 regular meeting of the Conservation Board. All members present approved.

Board member James Moriarty amended the Conservation Board Minutes of February 22, 2011 on page 8.

**Old Business:**

- A)** Guerrero/#213 Rte. 100/Update/Building Inspector/Principal Engineering Technician/Permit-driveway: (GM)  
The Conservation Board discussed the above administrative application for Guerrero regarding a permit for their newly constructed driveway on Rte. 100.

The Board members are waiting to hear from the Principal Engineering Technician Steve Woelfle regarding the State DOT granting the permit for the driveway to the new garage.

Ms. Davis noted that she spoke to Mr. Woelfle and he advised her that the permit from the DOT has not come through to date.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 3

**Old Business:**

**A) Guerrero/Permit:**

Board member Dr. Merker noted that the bottom of the driveway was washed out from Somers Manor down to this area due to the recent heavy rainfall in the area.

Board member Hantman said that under usual circumstances this type of driveway and garage might not have been built.

Dr. Merker advised that it is required for an applicant to obtain a permit for the driveway. This would enable them to install a pipe under the driveway to divert the water when there is runoff from the rain.

Chairman Meixner said that the State fixed the drain on Rte. 100 above that area and the drain under Rte. 100 to Plumbrook. He noted that it was filled with branches and debris that caused clogging of the drain.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this application and the nature of the project. They also spoke about wetlands, what defines wetlands, and how it applies to this application.

Board member Hantman commented that if someone were to go out and look at the properties in town after a severe weather event (rain) then there might be several more wetland locations in town than those already listed.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the recent rainfall and how it affected the roads in Somers, Brewster and the nearby towns.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 4

**Old Business:**

**A) Guerrero/Permit:**

Board member La Gue inquired about this application staying on the C.B. agenda until the permit is issued.

Chairman Meixner said that this item would be kept on the agenda until such time as the applicant receives a permit from the DOT.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**B) Town Board Referral/Request to convey paper road known as Lakeview Terrace to Jeanne Maloney dated 11-19-10/C.B. Comment:**

C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis informed the Board that she has not received any further information from the Engineering office or the Town Board regarding this referral for comment. She suggested that it might be a good idea to write a memo to the Town Board and take this subject matter off the agenda.

Ms. Davis explained that she spoke to the Principal Engineering Technician Mr. Woelfle regarding this application and he referred to a memo he had written on December 16, 2010 to the Town Board. She advised the Board that she asked for a copy of the memo because she did not remember receiving one back in December.

Also, she noted that Mr. Woelfle had informed her that the site is not a complete paper road as the upper landowner had some property from the paper road conveyed to him by Westchester County in 2005 (see below).

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 5

**Old Business:**

**B) T.B./Lakeview Terrace:**

\*

The December 16, 2010 memo read as follows:

*Please note that in 2005, the Courts ruled that the upper portion of the Lakeview Terrace ROW passed into possession of the adjacent property owner. The upper portion is now owned by Mr. John Cassaboon. In the settlement a house service waterline had to be removed from the Paper ROW and relocated in an easement on the southerly and easterly side of the Cassaboon property, which was completed a couple of years ago. A small segment of the existing waterline, which is connected to the Danko residence, appears to be in the northwest corner of the lower portion of the Paper ROW.*

*There is surface drainage that is conveyed through the Paper ROW by the natural topography of the surrounding property. It is possible that the Cassaboon property could eventually be built with a house and septic system. The drainage, i.e. curtain drains, footing drains, etc. would daylight towards this natural drainage conveyance. The surface drainage should not be impeded in any way.*

*There is an overhead utility line and pole in the Paper ROW.*

*This office recommends that all adjoining property owners be notified of the request.*

\*\*

Ms. Davis explained to the Board that she is under the impression that there will not be any further action on this parcel with reference to more paperwork after her discussion with Mr. Woelfle. She was wondering what the Board members would like to do regarding the memo to the Town Board.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 6

**Old Business:**

**B) T.B./Lakeview Terrace:**

Chairman Meixner questioned how the County could give Mr. Cassaboon title to the property.

Ms. Davis said that she was told that there was no title or deed that could be found so maybe that is how the court could rule on this. She explained that according to Mr. Woelfle it happens quite often with regards to old paper roads. She advised that according to Steve the Highway Superintendent did not want to cut trees on the property until he found out whom the property belonged to.

Dr. Merker said that he does not see why we would keep this on the agenda, as there is nothing being developed at this point in time. He noted that the Board would probably be made aware of future development if any were to take place.

Chairman Meixner advised that this Board might not be made aware of development on that property depending on the situation.

Ms. Davis said that most of the other Boards and Committees responded to this referral from the Town Board save the Conservation Board.

Board member La Gue said that one of the people on the Open Space Committee asked him about surveying the type of vegetation in the area and cataloguing the trees, etc. on the parcel and making it an open space area. They were told by someone else that there is no one in town that has the qualifications to do that type of classification on a parcel of property.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 7

**Old Business:**

**B) T.B./Lakeview Terrace:**

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this application and how the Board should proceed.

Ms. Davis advised the members that in the past the Board did a generic memo to say that it should be left as open space. She noted that the Board never got involved in classifying areas or noting the trees, etc.

Chairman Meixner asked if there was a water line from Yorktown that goes up Mahopac Avenue.

Ms. Davis said that she does not recall where the water line from Yorktown goes in Somers. She noted that upper Mahopac Avenue is on the Peekskill water and sewer line, but she does not know about lower Mahopac Avenue.

Ms. Davis noted that Mr. Woelfle explained to her that the DEP is not concerned about the property because they have jurisdiction on 300 ft. from the reservoir as a buffer area that they can comment upon if there is development in the area.

Discussion ensued among the Board members and they decided to write a memo to the Town Board requesting that the land remain as open space.

\*

A memo (#11-11) will be sent to the Town Board stating that the Conservation Board reviewed the above Town Board referral on the request to convey paper road known as Lakeview Terrace to Jeanne Maloney dated November 19, 2010 at their meeting on March 8, 2011.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 8

**Old Business:**

**B) T.B./Lakeview Terrace:**

The Board members reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and discussed the application among them.

The C.B. has the following concerns and recommendations:

- 1) After careful review and a site inspection of the property, the Conservation Board members unanimously agreed that this parcel of property should be kept by the Town as open space in perpetuity due to the proximity of the nearby reservoir.
- 2) If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Conservation Board.

\*\*

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**C) MetroPCS New York @ Towne Centre/Site Plan/Planning Board dated February 15, 2011, Additional Information, Letter to Planning Board dated 2-15-11; Title Sheet & Index/T-1; As-Built Survey/Section 17.15, Block 1, Lot part of 13; Constraints Map/CM-1; Soils Map/SM-1; Siet Plan/SP-1; Sec & Planting Plan, Details & Specs/SP-2; Compound Plan & Elevations/A-1; Equipment Plan, Details & Specs/C-1; Structural Details & Specs/S-1; Prepared by Cuddy & Feder, LLP, (#325 Rte. 100/Somerstown Centre): (JM)**

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 9

**Old Business:**

**C) MetroPCS/Site Plan:**

The Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for MetroPCS New York @ Towne Centre, site plan, constraints map, soils map, planting plan, equipment plan and details & specs at their meeting.

Board member James Moriarty reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and gave a report to the Board.

\*

Report:

- Mr. Moriarty informed the Board that the applicant resubmitted this application.
- On page SP-2 the silt fence detail does not demonstrate the material used for the silt fence staking. He suggested that it should be something similar to a steel 'U' or 'T' type stake.
- He noted that the plans state *wire fencing where required*. However, they should reflect the terms *wire mesh backing*.

\*\*

Chairman Meixner inquired about the retention pond being left off the plans submitted.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 10

**Old Business:**

**C) MetroPCS/Site Plan:**

Board member Moriarty said that the plans do not show the retention pond. However, the as built plan shows some of the details of the wetlands, but does not show the 'fake trees' like it use to. He commented that the as built plan shows many trees and shrubs, but does not distinguish what trees or shrubs.

Chairman Meixner said that those large trees that are planted on the property will have to be dug up and moved.

The Chair said that he spoke to Town Board member Richard Clinchy by phone today. Gary wanted to clarify that when the applicant originally makes the footprint for the cell tower, whatever fits in that antenna the base should correspond to all possible future vendors who will be using the tower. The review process should be done only once, not multiple times he said.

Chairman Meixner explained the situation to Mr. Clinchy stating that now the applicant has to go back into the wetland area and redo everything, which is a disturbance to the area again. He informed the Board that Mr. Clinchy would bring this topic up at a Town Board meeting. Mr. Clinchy had asked him if the Planning Board had responded to the C.B. about this and Chairman Meixner answered him saying that they had not.

Ms. Davis asked if the Board wanted to incorporate into the memo what was said at the last meeting, Plan A-1 has the proposed Metro GPS antenna that is located outside the unipole. The C.B. was under the impression that all antennae would be located within the unipole.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 11

**Old Business:**

**C) MetroPCS/Site Plan:**

Chairman Meixner explained to the Board that the GPS antenna is small, however, everything was supposed to be located within the unipole. He noted that the applicant decided to locate a GPS antenna outside the unipole.

Ms. Davis inquired about landscaping on the plans.

Mr. Moriarty responded that this application was additional information and not a complete application. Therefore there was no landscaping features present on the plan for review.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this application and they decided to write a memo to the Planning Board stating their concerns.

\*

A memo (#11-12) will be sent to the Planning Board stating that the Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for MetroPCS New York @ Towne Centre, site plan, constraints map, soils map, sec-planting plan, equipment plan and structural details at their meeting on March 8, 2011.

The Board members reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and discussed the application among them.

The C.B. has the following concerns and recommendations:

- 1) On page SP-2 the silt fence detail does not demonstrate the material used for the silt fence staking.

**Conservation Board**  
**Minutes of Meeting**  
**March 8, 2011**  
Page 12

**Old Business:**

**C) MetroPCS/Site Plan:**

- The material used for the staking should be something similar to a steel 'U' or 'T' type stake.
- 2) The plans submitted state *wire fencing where required*.
- The plans should say wire mesh backing with steel stakes.
- 3) Plan A-1 has the proposed Metro GPS antenna that is located outside the unipole.
- All antennae should be located within the unipole.
- 4) **Most Important:** During the planning stage of the original cell tower the applicant should show the plans as if every space is utilized so that the footprint would reflect all future activity on site and where the potential equipment would be located.
- The applicant should not be revisiting the site and changing the footprint every time that they have a new applicant using the cell tower.
  - This impedes proper review of the application because the footprint keeps on spreading sometimes to areas that should not be built upon.
  - The entire review process should take place all at the same time so that other vendors could be added or subtracted without change to the surrounding area or the footprint of the application.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011  
Page 13**

**Old Business:**

**C) MetroPCS/Site Plan:**

The Conservation Board will continue to review the application for MetroPCS NY Towne Centre as revisions are submitted.

\*\*

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**D) ELLA/CEA Workshop/Saturday March 5<sup>th</sup> from 9:30am to 12pm/Report on Critical Environmental Class for Conservation Board members; Discussion: (SH)**

Board member Shoshana Hantman announced that she attended the above ELLA workshop on Saturday March 5 regarding CEA's (Critical Environmental Areas), what they are and how to designate them in your perspective town.

Ms. Hantman noted that the name of the workshop was: Identifying and Designating Critical Environmental Areas.

\*

**Report:**

- Definition of a CEA: Specific geographic area that is designated by a State or Local agency that possesses exceptional or unique environmental characteristics she said.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 14

**Old Business:**

**D) ELLA/CEA Workshop:**

- Ms. Hantman noted that any state or local municipality could designate a CEA as long as it is within their jurisdiction. She explained that it would involve a map, listing, designation and hearings; it is a very time consuming endeavor she said.
- Board member Hantman said that the plus side for a CEA designation is that it does not impose any restriction on use of land (could be privately owned or publicly owned); and is not controversial. However the minus side is that there are no teeth to it.
- Ms. Hantman said that the Teatown people and guest speakers said that when something is designated a CEA it makes lead agencies consider what impacts would result on a CEA when determining Type I or Unlisted Actions.
- The CEA proactively makes the Town aware of what it has, where in some cases the Town would not know this information. We, in Somers are part of the MCA Biotic corridor and there is a database of 23 significant bio-diversity areas and there is a map on line where we can find rare plants and animals and ecosystems she said. We are on a biotic corridor and can be found on the Amphibian map.
- According to Michael Rubbo and the tool kit on the website the Town of Somers has designated only one CEA and that was Baldwin Place she said, not because it was valuable, but an area of concern. This is in spite of the fact that we have a great deal of open space in Somers, i.e. Muscote Farm, parks and trails all of which are not designated she said.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 15

**Old Business:**

**D) ELLA/CEA Workshop:**

- Ms. Hantman went on to say that the Town Board has to do the designating (by law) however we or any Board or Committee can take the necessary steps to identify the proposed designation, then the Town Board makes the decision she said.
- She informed the Board that the Teatown group will provide assistance in helping convince the Town Board that CEA designation in a particular area would be advantageous.

Board member La Gue asked about the property that abuts the Anglefly Preserve which was deemed to be critical by the DEP and that is why they bought it. Could it be that certain other areas could be critical and maybe other agencies have declared them and we just do not have that information?

C.B. Secretary noted that the Town of Somers has only one designation to date that is a CEA and that is Baldwin Place. There are no others.

- Other towns have multiple CEA's or are recommending areas for that purpose said Ms. Hantman. Some of them are in Hyde Park and they are Hogback Hill; Maritje Kill Wetlands; Vanderburgh Cove and Indian Kill.
- Hogback Hill is the home of the Indiana bat that will only nest in Shagbark Hickory trees; the Blandings Turtle can also be found at that site she said.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 16

**Old Business:**

**D) ELLA/CEA Workshop:**

Chairman Meixner mentioned the rare butterfly Leonard Skipper that was located in Somers and noted that the applicant had to avoid that area for development.

- Board member Hantman said that according to one biologist the single greatest threat to Biodiversity is habitat loss and fragmentation. The Hudson River Estuary Program that works with Cornell University have these principles: protecting large contiguous natural habitats of all kinds including forests; and preserving links through broad connections (they do not want to use the word corridor anymore because it implies small areas). Apparently there are migratory birds that will not even consider a small forest. Large forests are very essential habitats, she said there has to be these broad connections to attract and allow wildlife movement.

Board member Hantman noted that the primary expense is attorney fees for preparing the resolution for submittal to the DEC.

- Ms. Hantman said that there are no official guidelines on this however the C.B. should speak to the Town Board to see if they are interested. Then proceed to identify candidate areas (biotic corridor); make sure that it is consistent with other Town areas of concern; prepare a map; meet with the Town Board to go through justifications; set a public hearing; hold the public hearing; make revisions if necessary and create a formal resolution for the Town Board to vote on. Then submit to DEP with maps (30 days) and it has to be published by the DEC in the Environmental Notice Bulletin. She noted that it was mentioned in class that one has to stay on top of them because they can lose material.

\*\*

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 17

**Old Business:**

**D) ELLA/CEA Workshop:**

Ms. Davis advised that the Town Board usually provides the Public Hearing and resolutions. The C.B. would have to do the maps and find the different areas, which could even be reservoir areas.

Chairman Meixner said that the report by Ms. Hantman was excellent. He asked her to focus on this subject matter for future C.B. meetings and look into possible areas in town that could be designated CEA.

Ms. Hantman said that there are so many man-hours put into this already by the ELLA group and other groups already so the information would be easy to retrieve and it is all on the internet.

Dr. Merker said that he went to ELLA meetings and the main thing that he learned was that there are many avenues for the Board to focus on not just reviewing plans from developers. The big problem is what is going on outside the sites that we are reviewing.

Chairman Meixner said that the Town has gotten away from having the developer prepare information on what is happening in the surrounding areas. The applicant should be looking into this, but they do not.

Dr. Merker said that is probably because the developer does not want to have to pay the cost for someone to do the work. Due to building and the economy it is not in the best interest of the developer to do these types of things. Earlier when we were discussing the open space and trees located in the paper road, we agreed that it costs money to find out these things and then who is going to pay for that.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 18

**Old Business:**

**D) ELLA/CEA Workshop:**

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to sending a memo to the Town Board, Planning Board, Zoning Board, etc. and advising them to sign up for ELLA so that the organization can receive grant money, etc.

\*

A memo (#11-13) will be sent to the Town Board, Planning Board and Zoning Board stating that the Conservation Board discussed membership in the Environmental Leaders Learning Alliance and noted that the group, which calls themselves ELLA for short relies heavily on grants from the government, etc., usually based on membership numbers.

The Conservation Board would appreciate the various Boards and Committees in Somers joining the ELLA group (there is no fee) and the application can be filled out online.

Membership in this organization would give a financial leg-up to the organization as well as provide many Internet sites and tools that can help an individual or town when planning development or doing research. It would also allow valuable education (sometimes free) to its members including Town Boards, Planning Boards, Zoning Boards, etc.

Several Conservation Board members have attended their classes and are learning a great deal about the Hudson Hills and Highlands.

\*\*

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 19

**Old Business:**

- E)** Heritage Hills of Westchester/Sewage Treatment Plant/Re-subdivision; Site Plan/Planning Board; Map of Visitors Center – Model Area dated March 26, 1973, revised April 3, 1973; Prepared by Alexander Bunney Land Surveyor, PC; Re-Subdivision Map dated February 14, 2011; Prepared by Bunney Associates Land Surveyors, Preliminary Subdivision/Abbreviated Procedure; Letter to Planning Board dated 2-11-11; Applicant to subdivide 18.852 acre parcel into two parcels; Lot-1A/7.571 acre Lot-B/11.011 acres; transfer 9 acre parcel with sewage treatment plant to Heritage Hills Sewage-Works Corp.; R-40 & DRD; Application; Short EAF; Site Plan-Parcel 1 & 2; Re-subdivision Map of Parcel 1 dated 2-14-11; Section 17.10, Block 10, Lot 18, (Rte. 202 & Heritage Hills Drive): (ML)

The Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for Heritage Hills of Westchester sewage treatment plant, site plan and preliminary subdivision at their meeting tonight.

Board member Michael La Gue reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and gave a report to the Board.

\*

Report:

- Mr. La Gue noted that there are two documents provided one is an original map/survey produced in 1973; formerly the area was comprised of three parcels.
- The visitor's center is now the annex to Heritage Hills and acts like an activity center he said. The next parcel is the location of the bank and pizzeria, etc. The last parcel from the property owner down to the corner is designated as Parcel #1.
- Board member La Gue explained that the applicant's want to break up Parcel 1 into two different segments; 1A and 1B.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011  
Page 20**

**Old Business:**

**E) Heritage Hills/Re-subdivision:**

Board member Merker asked what is in there now?

At present there is the sewer plant on parcel 1A said Mr. La Gue.

- Board member La Gue continued his report stating that Parcel 1A currently has the sewer plant and the rear portion has an area for gardens that are 10 x 10 ft. where residents of Heritage Hills can plant a garden.
- There is a parking lot and access road he said. The stream flows throughout the year; there are two streams. There is a low land area and the stream pools out into it and the excess runoff goes under Warren Street (where they recently fixed the bridge) and into a pond on the corner of Warren and Rte. 202.
- Board member La Gue noted that he was surprised to learn that not all of the area is considered wetland; there is an area of 125 ft. along Rte. 202 that is not considered wetland. Also, there is another location on Warren Street near the large Sycamore tree that is not considered wetlands. The developer apparently would like to use this land for future development.

Chairman Meixner noted that Verizon wanted to use the site for a cell tower location several years ago.

- Mr. La Gue said that there are swamp type plants, willow, etc. located on the property called Parcel 1, and it is a low-lying area. The nine acres would be subdivided.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011  
Page 21**

**Old Business:**

**E) Heritage Hills/Re-subdivision:**

Dr. Merker said that it is obvious that they wanted to do something with it. Originally they wanted to have a non-developed entranceway to Heritage Hills. He noted that he would not support breaking up the parcel.

Board member La Gue said that he agrees with Dr. Merker and would withhold his approval especially since there is no information on what they intend to do there.

Chairman Meixner said that when they originally applied for permits that area was considered part of their open space, like the golf course and then that was subdivided. He advised that originally only people who lived in Heritage Hills could use the golf course.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this application and the many uses that the applicant could find for this area, none of which would be amenable to the Board.

Board member Hantman inquired about the original plans for the Heritage Hills development.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the wetlands, delineation, wetland buffers, etc. and they decided to write a memo stating their concerns.

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011  
Page 22**

**Old Business:**

**E) Heritage Hills/Re-subdivision:**

\*

A memo (#11-14) will be sent to the Planning Board stating that the Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for Heritage Hills of Westchester Sewage Treatment Plant, site plan, re-subdivision map and short EAF at their meeting on March 8, 2011.

The Board members reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property and discussed the application among them.

The C.B. has the following concerns and recommendations:

- 1) It appears that this parcel is part of Heritage Hills open space requirement and an esthetic corridor into the property.
- 2) The Conservation Board members unanimously are not in favor of any further subdivision of property or development in this corridor.
- 3) The original survey does not show the sewage treatment plant (1973).
  - The plans should include the original plans with the sewage treatment plant and the original resolution.
- 4) There was no delineation of the 100ft. buffer to the pond, west of the property at the intersection of Rte. 202 and Warren Street.
- 5) The pond and 100ft. buffer is not shown on the plans submitted dated 2-14-11, map 17.990 (re-subdivision map).

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011  
Page 23**

**Old Business:**

**E) Heritage Hills/Re-subdivision:**

- 6) There was no delineation of the full circumference (360 degrees) of the buffer area for the wetlands.
- 7) There is wetland vegetation (including Willows) in the southwest corner of the proposed parcel B.
  - The property along Rte. 202 in the south corner should be examined to ensure that it is not a wetland.

The Conservation Board will continue to review this application for Heritage Hills Sewage Treatment Plant Re-subdivision as revisions are submitted.

\*\*

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**New Business:**

- A) New Cingular Wireless, PCS, LLC/AT&T/Co-Location at Somers Commons Shopping Center/Site Plan/Planning Board/Proposed 12 x 20-ft. equipment shelter; Section 4.20, Block 1, Lot 11; Wetland Activity Permit-Application submitted by Tectonic dated February 22, 2011, Appendix I-Preliminary Site Plans/Long EAF; Appendix II- Site Location**

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 24

**New Business:**

- A)** Maps/Property Owners List; Appendix III-Site Photographs; Appendix IV-NYS GIS/DEC Environmental Resource Map; Appendix V-NWI Map; Appendix VIII-FEMA Floodplain Map; Appendix IX-Completed Wetlands Permit Application/Applicant Acknowledgment Forms; T-1/Title Sheet; C-1/Wetlands, Regulated Buffer Disturbance Area; Z-1A/Plot Plan; Z-1B/Property Owner's List, Setback Maps; Z-1/Site Plan; Z-2/Site Detail Plan, Notes; Z-3/Elevation, Antenna Plan, Details; Z-4/Details, Notes; (#80 Rte. 6/100ft. from intersection Rte. 118 & 37): (GM)

The Conservation Board will review the above Planning Board application for New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC/AT&T/Co-Location at Somers Commons Shopping Center, site plan, wetland activity permit, long EAF and soils map at their next meeting.

Chairman Gary Meixner will review the materials submitted, perform a site inspection of the property and give a report to the Board.

A report will be forthcoming at the next Conservation Board meeting.

- B)** 102 Moseman LLC/Gaggini/Wetland & Stormwater Management, Erosion & Sediment Control Permit Application; Site Plan/Planning Board (Existing-Proposed) dated January 5, 2011; Prepared by Spearman Architectural Design PC; Section 48.18-1-10; Survey/Alexander Bunney; Other – Wetlands Investigation by Steven Danzer PhD dated 10-14-10; Short EAF; Site Plan/S-1, S-2, S-3; Proposed Plan/S-4; Garage addition to home/landscape improvements within buffer zone of 6534 sq.ft. Man-made, clay bottom, non-contiguous retention pond; Plans include hydro-dredging acidifying sediment from the pond & creation of bog area to improve the environmental viability of pond without increasing size; activity area/19, 700 sq.ft., (#102 Moseman Avenue/So side/intersection Stuart Lane): (ML/SH)

**Conservation Board  
Minutes of Meeting  
March 8, 2011**

Page 25

**New Business:**

**B) 102 Moseman LLC/Gaggini:**

The Conservation Board will review the above Planning Board application for 102 Moseman LLC/Gaggini, wetland-stormwater management, erosion-sediment control, site plan and wetlands investigation at their next meeting.

Board member Michael La Gue will review the materials submitted, perform a site inspection of the property and give a report to the Board.

A report will be forthcoming at the next Conservation Board meeting.

\*\*\*\*\*

There being no further business to discuss, a motion to adjourn was made at 9:25 PM by Board member Shoshana Hantman and seconded by Board member James Moriarty. All members present approved.

The next regular meeting of the Conservation Board will be held at the Town House on March 22, 2011 at 7:30 PM.

**Conservation Board**  
**Minutes of Meeting**  
**March 8, 2011**  
Page 26

Subsequent Conservation Board meetings are tentatively scheduled to be held at the Town House on April 12, 2011 and April 26, 2011 respectively.

Respectfully submitted,

Rosetta Davis  
Secretary  
Conservation Board

Cc: Town Board  
Town Clerk  
Town Engineer  
Town Planner  
Planning Board  
Zoning Board  
Open Space Committee  
Architectural Review Board  
Landmark Committee