

**CONSERVATION BOARD
MINUTES OF MEETING
AUGUST 12, 2008**

The August 12, 2008 regular meeting of the Conservation Board was called to order by Chairman Gary Meixner.

Attendance: Charles Friedberg, Dr. Frank Lapetina, Dr. Edward Merker, Jim Moriarty, Gloria Rosenzweig, Gary Meixner

Absent: None

Guests: None

Announcements:

Board member Dr. Frank Lapetina notified the CB Secretary that he had three Administrative reports for the Conservation Board.

Chairman Meixner noted that there would be a Planning Board meeting on August 19th at 10 AM regarding St. Joseph's Church and JFK High School at the Town House. Interested and involved (CB) agencies are invited to attend.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 2

Approval of Minutes:

A motion was made by Charles Friedberg and seconded by Dr. Frank Lapetina to approve the minutes of the July 22, 2008 regular meeting of the Conservation Board. All members present approved.

Board member Friedberg announced that he was very pleased with the Minutes of the Conservation Board.

Old Business:

- A)** Meichner Subdivision/Site Plan/Planning Board, Construction Plan and Integrated Plot Plan, Profiles and Details, Tree Plan and 30 Scale Construction Plan, Smith Road Improvement Plan dated August 23, 2007, revised July 3, 2008, Prepared by Bibbo Associates, LLP; Other – Declaration of Restrictive Covenant-Smith Lane Maintenance Agreement, (Warren Street to Smith Lane): (GM)

The Conservation Board reviewed the above Planning Board application for Meichner subdivision and site plan at their meeting.

Chairman Meixner reviewed the materials submitted, performed a site inspection of the property formerly and gave a verbal report to the Board.

After some discussion on the matter the Board members decided that there were no further comments from the Conservation Board at this time.

The Board took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 3

Old Business:

- B)** Joint Meeting/Report/Open Space Committee & Architectural Review Board/update on progress of Angle Fly Preserve/invite for representative from CB/on Thursday July 24 @7:30PM in Meeting Room of Town House:
The Conservation Board reviewed the above information regarding the Joint Meeting of the Open Space Committee and the Architectural Review Board and the progress on the Angle Fly Preserve at their meeting.

Board member Charles Friedberg attended the Planning Board meeting and gave a report to the Conservation Board regarding the decisions that were made at the meeting.

Mr. Friedberg said that the meeting took approximately one hour and they had a large Google map (in colors) which the two gentlemen used to walk off various possible pathways for the Anglefly Preserve.

Board member Friedberg mentioned that during the meeting it was noted that there is some debris of bottles, etc. located on the property.

Mr. Friedberg explained that the net upshot of the meeting was that they are not prepared to do anything at this time. They are going to have the people from New York and New Jersey re-walk and re-map the property before they commence with any finalization of the use of the grounds.

Dr. Merker commented that the buildings that exist on the property are all falling down and should be demolished.

Board member Rosenzweig asked why New Jersey was involved?

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 4

Old Business:

B) Joint Meeting/OSC/CB/etc.: (cont'd)

Board member Friedberg replied that there is a joint New York New Jersey group that offered money to help repair the paths and maintain them from erosion as the major argument is who is going to maintain the trails.

Dr. Merker said that it is the group trail conference and an expert would be going out to look with them.

Chairman Meixner inquired about what side they were contemplating; the west side?

Charles Friedberg responded affirmatively and went on to say that apparently there is an old abandoned residential building back there and parking lot where they want to commence the Trailway. One of the gentlemen was in favor of using the property as soon as possible.

Chairman Meixner inquired about some logs and went on to say that the mansion used to be in good shape in the late 50's and early 60's.

Board member Friedberg said that they did not walk the property, there was only a meeting of the Boards.

Dr. Merker noted that he lives adjacent to the Anglefly and has walked those trails and was wondering if anyone bikes back there, as he did not see anyone while he was there. He explained that in the last three years the trails are really getting washed out.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 5

Old Business:

B) Joint Meeting/OSC/CB/etc.: (cont'd)

Chairman Meixner said that the houses were built in 1972 and now they are all falling down. He noted that there use to be boards on the doors, but now they are gone, but he is not familiar with the trails that were discussed at the meeting.

Board member Friedberg said that the committee is going to have specific walking trails and bike trails. They said that the property has to be re-walked in order to facilitate the proper use of the trails. Right now they are trying to limit access to the property until they decide on the use of the various trailways on the property, as they are worried about motorcycles and dirt bikes.

Board member Merker noted that an individual can access the property anywhere so that will be difficult.

Chairman Meixner said that originally the property called Primrose Farms was part of the parcel.

Dr. Merker explained that when he first moved into this area there were two roads in Primrose Farms Subdivision that dead-ended at the Anglefly property with the ability to keep on going into the property. Everything is getting washed out now from the rain and the growth that is taking place.

Board member Friedberg advised that one Board member suggested getting at least part of the property opened soon. Apparently there is one small circular path that people could use as a walking trail for the time being which would be easy to get up and running.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 6

Old Business:

B) Joint Meeting/OSC/CB/etc.: (cont'd)

Board member Merker noted that it is quite dangerous on the property right now. He explained that there is a lot of growth and the paths are very rutted so that an individual could very easily get hurt or twist their ankle.

They are going to have the National Mountain Bike Association come in and determine which is the best path for them to use said Mr. Friedberg. Then they would determine which trails are best for biking and which for walking.

Dr. Merker noted that they were talking originally about the section east of Rte. 139 behind the nursing home having a Mountain Bike trail.

Mr. Friedberg said that they were talking about every possible scenario including walking trails and bike trails.

Dr. Merker explained that the Conservation Board would like to see the inclusion of through biking trails that can traverse the town so that the residents can travel from one area of town to another without the use of a car. He went on to explain that this town has the opportunity (if you look at a map of the town) Muscoot backs up to Lasdon, which is across the street from Anglefly, and Anglefly backs to Reis Park. Therefore, we have the opportunity for the town's people to travel by bicycle instead of by car to get to various destinations.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the statement made by Board member Merker.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 7

Old Business:

B) Joint Meeting/OSC/CB/etc.: (cont'd)

Board member Lapetina noted that he does not think that bike trails in Lasdon would be appropriate and he is not in favor of such an endeavor. He explained that Anglefly and Reis Park is all right but he has some difficulty giving his okay for Lasdon.

Dr. Lapetina went on to say that he volunteers his time at Lasdon and it would not be appropriate to see a bicycle trail there. He explained that he likes the park in its natural state as it is now. He also mentioned that there would be a conservatory located there and that is the only change he would like to see on that property.

Board member Rosenzweig inquired about the location of the proposed conservatory.

Dr. Lapetina responded that it would be located on the property near the memorial.

Anyway, said Dr. Merker the Conservation Board would like to see bicycle access from Lasdon through Anglefly and all of the way up to Reis Park. He noted that any bike trail would have to skirt Lasdon Park, not go through it.

Dr. Lapetina mentioned that the back part of Muscoot goes to Lasdon and originally there was talk about a walking path.

Dr. Merker said that there is the Muscoot River and a stream there.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 8

Old Business:

B) Joint Meeting/OSC/CB/etc.: (cont'd)

Chairman Meixner said that they could use Wood Street to connect to some of the other trails in town.

Board member Rosenzweig said that it should connect to the North County Trailway.

Dr. Merker said that it would be more difficult to do that.

After much discussion on the matter the Board members decided to write a memo to the Open Space Committee regarding the proposed activities.

*

A memo (#08-42) will be sent to the Open Space Committee stating that the Conservation Board discussed the Anglefly Preserve and the proposed trailways that will traverse the property at their meeting on August 12, 2008.

The Conservation Board would like to submit their preliminary comments and thank the Open Space Committee for including them in the meeting.

The C.B. has the following recommendations:

- 1) The Conservation Board would like to see bicycle access from (skirting) Lasdon through Anglefly and all of the way up to Reis Park.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 9

Old Business:

B) Joint Meeting/OSC/CB/etc.: (cont'd)

- 2) The Town of Somers has a unique opportunity to link up these areas because of the proximity of all of the parks and open space.
- 3) The C.B. members feel that this would be the time to take advantage of this situation and link them up now because in the future, the situation may change and it may no longer be possible.

**

The Board took no further action at this time.

C) Action/Comment-CB/TB Resolution/to refer proposed Local Law creating a town wide Historic Landmarks Preservation Commission for review and comment at they're meeting on July 10, 2008 and dated July 14, 2008: The Conservation Board members discussed the above-proposed 'Historic Landmarks Preservation Commission' for the Town of Somers at their meeting.

The Board members reviewed the pages submitted and thoroughly discussed the information therein.

After careful consideration the Board members decided to vote unanimously against creating another government agency. They were of the opinion that creating this entity would be redundant and unnecessary as there are already two entities that control Historic Preservation in the Town of Somers.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 10

Old Business:

C) Action/Comment/CB/TB/Historic Com.: (cont'd)

The Board members also mentioned that there is a hiring freeze and the Commission would necessitate the hiring of a secretary for the Commission to handle the workload.

*

A memo (#08-43) will be sent to the Town Board stating that the Conservation Board reviewed the above Town Board application to refer proposed local law creating a town wide Historic Landmarks Preservation Commission for review and comment. This took place at their meeting on August 12, 2008.

The Board members reviewed the materials submitted, discussed the application among them and decided on a course of action.

The C.B. has the following concerns and recommendations:

- 1) After careful review the C.B. has unanimously decided to vote against the creation of a town wide Historic Landmarks Preservation Commission.

- 2) The Board members thought that it would be redundant and unnecessary and cause a problem in town with the residents losing their freedom to choose with reference to their own property.

**

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 11

Old Business:

D) St. Lukes Church Door Repair: (GM)

The Conservation Board briefly discussed a situation at the St. Lukes Church that was brought to their attention by Chairman Gary Meixner.

Chairman Meixner informed the Board that the Church is having a difficult time with reference to the possibility of lead paint being present in the area of the construction activity (when they knock down the wall to install the doorway).

Chairman Meixner said that the YMCA is going to be taking over the play school of the Church and redoing a lot of work inside the building. That is the reason for the door in order to keep it separate and safe.

Dr. Lapetina said that they do not know if it has lead paint, as it has not been tested.

Board member Friedberg opined that it is such a minor issue at this juncture.

Chairman Meixner said that he doesn't think the original wall had Sheet-rock, so any work there would be an improvement.

The Board took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 12

New Business:

- A)** Kaplan/Wetland Activity Permit/Administrative, Sketch, received July 15, 2008, Prepared by the applicant, Section 5.18, Block 1, Lot 27, Extension of existing patio/unilock/four pillars w/lights, 2-ft. high wall around patio w/fire pit/activity 900sq.ft., part of activity will be within the wetland area (Robert J./#7 Stevens Ct/Baldwin Pl): (FL)
The Conservation Board reviewed the above administrative application, sketch for Kaplan wetland activity permit at their meeting.

Board member Dr. Frank Lapetina reviewed the materials submitted, discussed the application with the administrative review team and gave a verbal report to the Board.

*

Report:

- The location of the property is #7 Stevens Court, Baldwin Place.
- The applicant resides in the Preserve off Rte. 118 and has lived there since April 2004. They are proposing to replace and expand their patio.
- There are wetlands and a catch basin for groundwater and the New York City reservoir system.
- The applicant will be constructing a Unilock wall with pillars around the patio. There will be lights and a fire pit installed also.
- The proposed patio will be 35-ft. x 25-ft./or 900 sq.ft.
- The proposed fire pit will have a firebrick lining.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 13

New Business:

A) Kaplan/WAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

- The 4 pillars will have a pipe for electric and a refrigerator built into the barbeque.
- Dr. Lapetina noted that the applicant should provide some form of mitigation, maybe reduction in lawn, wetland plantings, etc.

**

Dr. Lapetina read from the letter generated by the applicant:

“ . . . Steve Woelfle informed the applicant that the wetland border comes right to the edge of my dwelling. This surprised me since the builders had cleared the land and planted grass in replace of the natural habitat. . . .

When I met with Guy Gagne he informed me to make sure my fence stayed within the actual borders of the property. We complied and the fence was moved.”

**

Board member Lapetina went on to explain the administrative meeting and the report that was generated from there.

*

The administrative meetings Summary Sheet for this application reflected the following, which was agreed upon by everyone, in particular Town Planner Hull and the Conservation Board:

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 14

New Business:

A) Kaplan/WAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

July 22, 2008

Administrative wetland permit required for the extension of the existing patio, 2-ft. high wall/fire pit.

The application was submitted July 21, 2008.

Town Engineer Comment:

- *The area beyond the applicant's well should be pervious blocks.*

Town Planner Comment:

- *The applicant should provide some form of mitigation, how much lawn is there, maybe a reduction in the lawn, wetland plants, etc.*

Building Inspector Comment:

Spoke to Steve Woelfle and everything proposed is all right according to Code.

Conservation Board Comment:

- *The 2-ft. wall is going to be a dry wall? If a dry wall, what would it be, stone, Unilock, etc.?*

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 15

New Business:

A) Kaplan/WAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

- *if not, detail about the footing is required.*

**

The Board member took no further action at this time.

B) Oskow-Shoenbrep/Steep Slope Alteration Permit & Erosion Sediment Control Permit Application/Administrative, Section 26.19, Block 1, Lot 4, Survey of Property dated September 1, 2007 Prepared by Baxter Land Surveying, P.C., Site Plan and Noted dated October 29, 2007 revised December 4, 2008, Prepared by Lennon & Witt Architects (Scott & Nancy/#2552 Quaker Church Road): (FL)

The Conservation Board reviewed the above administrative application for Oskow-Shoenbrep steep slope alteration permit & erosion sediment control permit at their meeting.

Board member Dr. Frank Lapetina reviewed the materials submitted, discussed the application with the administrative review team and gave a verbal report to the Board.

*

Report:

- The property is located at #2552 Quaker Church Road.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 16

New Business:

B) Oskow-Shoenbrep/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

- The applicants propose to build a garage and workshop.
- The proposed building will be approximately 56-ft.
- The area of activity will be 32-ft. by 62-ft.
- Part of the driveway is located in the wetland buffer zone.
- The applicant needed the Zoning Board approval before he came to the administrative meeting due to the front yard setbacks (usually a garage is not allowed in front of the house).
- There was no planting plan submitted with the application for the easterly side of the driveway. The owner should submit that information as soon as possible.

**

Board member Lapetina went on to explain the administrative meeting and the report that was generated from there.

*

The administrative meetings Summary Sheet for this application reflected the following, which was agreed upon by everyone, in particular Town Planner Hull and the Conservation Board:

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008
Page 17**

New Business:

B) Oskow-Shoenbrep/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

July 28, 2008

Administrative Wetland Permit Required for the extension of the construction of the 18-inch high wall supporting fill at gravel driveway; and footing drain exits in wetland buffer.

Application submitted July 25, 2008.

Town Planner Comments:

- *Planting plan, rain garden, impervious pavement, asphalt pull-off.*

Engineering Technician Comments:

- *Provide demarcation of garage turn-around, safety.*
- *Replant beyond wall with shrubs.*

Conservation Board Comments:

- *Applicant should submit a planting plan for review.*

**

Chairman Meixner asked if the building was going to be two stories.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 18

New Business:

B) Oskow-Shoenbrep/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

Dr. Lapetina responded in the negative.

Board member Friedberg asked if there were any comments from Dr. Lapetina?

Board member Lapetina responded that the only thing he would like to see that was not part of the application is a planting plan (for review) for the easterly side of the driveway and he made that known at the meeting.

Mr. Friedberg noted that it would be a planting plan for the driveway that impedes on the buffer area.

Discussion ensued among the Board members and they asked Frank questions pertaining to the application.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

C) Squitieri/Steep Slope & Erosion and Sediment Control Permit Application/Site Plan/Administrative, dated July 13, 2008, Section 26.15, Block 2, Lot 11, Proposed installation of in-ground swimming pool & spa, site improvements, Prepared by Gregory McWilliams, Architect, (#4 Carriage Ct.-north side/Amawalk): (FL)

The Conservation Board reviewed the above administrative application for Squitieri steep slope and erosion and sediment control permit application and site plan at their meeting.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 19

New Business:

C) Squitieri/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

Board member Dr. Frank Lapetina reviewed the materials submitted at the administrative meeting along with the Assistant Town Engineer Steve Woelfle and gave a verbal report to the Board.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the above application and history.

*

Report:

- The property is located at #4 Carriage Court, on the north side of Amawalk.
- Board member Lapetina reported that the applicants propose the installation of in-ground swimming pool and spa and site improvements.
- He explained that the application is located in 15 to 25% slopes.

Chairman Meixner asked where the property is located and asked if it was behind the Boniello's property.

Dr. Lapetina confirmed that he thought it was located there.

Chairman Meixner inquired about the name of the road and then the name of the main road.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008
Page 20**

New Business:

C) Squitieri/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

Board member Charles Friedberg volunteered the name Country Hollow.

Chairman Meixner asked what main road it was off of.

Dr. Lapetina stated that it is located off Mahopac Avenue.

- Board member Lapetina continued his report noting that the applicant intends on installing a waterfall with boulders and a spa.
- Dr. Lapetina advised the Board members that there was a drain pipe located at the bottom of the stonewall.
- Board member Lapetina recommended that the applicant install a concrete pad at the daylight end where the pipe goes through the wall. He explained that he did not know where the water would flow, but reiterated that there should be some sort of cement pad installed where the pipe ends.
- Furthermore, Dr. Lapetina specified that the applicant should install plantings on the west side of the wall.

Where said Mr. Friedberg, by the chlorinated pool water over-splash?

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 21

New Business:

C) Squitieri/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

Dr. Lapetina responded in the negative and went on to say that at the bottom of the steep slopes there will be a drainpipe and I cannot see where it is going. So I recommend a concrete pad at the end of the drainpipe so that you know where the water is going and some plantings on the west side of the wall.

Mr. Friedberg said you mean they do not show it in the plans submitted, is that what you are saying?

Board member Lapetina said correct and went on to say that the plans should exhibit the information he has requested.

- Dr. Lapetina went on to explain that the chart shows the standard, but the height is not accurate, because it appears smaller than what is exhibited on the plans. He specified that it shows a 4-ft. maximum height, but it is in actuality only a 2-ft. high wall.

**

Board member Friedberg reiterated what was said for the benefit of the Board members and clarity.

Board member Lapetina went on to explain the administrative meeting and the report that was generated from there.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 22

New Business:

C) Squitieri/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

*

The administrative meetings Summary Sheet for this application reflected the following which was agreed upon by everyone, especially Town Planner Hull and the Conservation Board:

August 5, 2008

The initial application was made by July 15, 2008. This office suggested to the Architect that the pool be relocated outside the Planning Board steep slopes and also prevented any potential encroachment into the conservation buffer. (See letter dated May 8, 2008) A dry well/drainage has been provided for the new impervious areas.

Administrative Steep Slope Permit and Erosion and Sediment Control Permit required for the proposed installation of an in-ground swimming pool and spa with related site improvements.

Plans resubmitted July 31, 2008.

Conservation Board Comments:

- *Provide stone pad for retaining wall drainpipe at daylight end.*
- *Provide plantings on west side of retaining wall.*

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 23

New Business:

C) Squitieri/SSAP/Administrative: (cont'd)

Town Planner Comments:

- *Town Planner Hull said that she agreed with the stated comments by the Town Engineer, the Engineering Technician and the Conservation Board.*

**

The Board members took no further action at this time.

D) Stonewall Builders/Lot Line Change/Planning Board, Lot Line Adjustment Plan dated July 30, 2007, revised May 15, 2008, Prepared by Bibbo Associates, LLP; Wetland Buffer Planting Plan dated March 13, 2008, Prepared by Pouder Design Group:

The Conservation Board acknowledges receipt of the above Planning Board application for Stonewall Builders lot line change and adjustment at their meeting.

The Board members decided to perform a brief review of the above application for Stonewall Builders at the meeting.

Board member Gloria Rosenzweig informed the Board that this application originally involved the removal of the garbage/refuse on the property and some of the parcel did not belong to them.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 24

New Business:

D) Stonewall Builders/Lot Line Change: (cont'd)

Board member Merker corrected the statement by adding the component that Gloria was looking for; saying that it had to do with disposing of the manure.

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to this application and the nature of the lot line change and what the applicant intended to do.

Chairman Meixner reiterated that the application is for a lot line change.

Dr. Edward Merker noted that Stonewall Drive is off of Mahopac Avenue.

Board member Rosenzweig agreed.

Dr. Merker went on to say that it is at the beginning of the large farm.

Chairman Meixner agreed.

Dr. Merker asked if there was water across the street from this project.

Board member Friedberg said that this is a lot line adjustment plan where is the wetland buffer planting plan? Is that what this WLF is?

Apparently, it was not included in the packet of information said the C.B. Secretary Ms. Davis.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 25

New Business:

D) Stonewall Builders/Lot Line Change: (cont'd)

Discussion ensued among the Board members with reference to the square footage and the fact that it is located outside the wetland buffer.

Chairman Meixner noted that the setback is important.

Dr. Lapetina commented that he did not see any planting plan in the submission by the applicant.

Chairman Meixner referenced the fact that this application was New Business and the Board had until the next meeting to comment on the plan.

Well, what are we going to do, said Mr. Friedberg.

Ms. Davis agreed that the plan submitted was not a wetland buffer-planting plan.

Ms. Davis advised that she should procure the planting plan from the Planning Board so that the Board may review it at the next meeting. She explained that the information was taken off the cover sheet and apparently the planting plan was inadvertently omitted from the applicant's materials.

Ms. Davis specified that she would write a note to the Planning Board asking for the planting plan so that the C.B. could conduct their review.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008
Page 26**

New Business:

D) Stonewall Builders/Lot Line Change: (cont'd)

Board member Friedberg noted that the C.B. did not have any concerns with the lot line change per se.

Why are they putting the driveway in over the brook as opposed to just coming out the stone wall, said Dr. Merker, it does not make any sense.

Dr. Lapetina explained where the buffer is located and the setbacks.

Dr. Merker had questions about the plan as submitted.

Board member Lapetina commented that he thinks that the driveway is there already.

Chairman Meixner interjected that the applicant's might want a driveway for each property, as right now they might be using the same driveway.

The Board members decided that they would still like to see the planting plan. However, they have no issue with the lot line change.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 27

New Business:

- E)** Planning Board/Site Walk/September 20, 2008 (weather permitting):
9:10AM *Mitchell Prel. Subdvsn & Steep Slopes Permit
TM-16.09-1-9/Application of Gary Mitchell for property
located on West side of Tomahawk St. for proposed 4-lot
subdivision, three are new building lots, one existing lot.*

The Board members discussed the site walk among them and after much discussion on the matter they decided that they could not attend the site inspection with the Planning Board.

Board member Jim Moriarty noted that he did a thorough site inspection of the property and the C.B. had written a memo to the Planning Board referencing their concerns. Therefore, no further action needed to be taken at this time.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

- F)** ELLA/The Environmental Learning Alliance/next meeting date/dinner meeting announcement: (EM)
Board member Dr. Edward Merker asked that this item be placed on the C.B. agenda as he has more information.

Their next meeting is scheduled for October 6, 2008 at 4PM until 9PM on a Monday night.

Dr. Merker said that he told ELLA that he would be attending the meeting.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 28

New Business:

F) ELLA: (cont'd)

Board member Merker will report back to the Board regarding the information that he obtains.

G) Teatown/Environmental Lecture the first Thursday of every month: (GR)
Board member Gloria Rosenzweig noted that Teatown is conducting a meeting and lecture the first Thursday of every month and all Conservation Board members are welcome to attend.

The Board members took no further action at this time.

There being no further business to discuss, a motion to adjourn was made at 9:45 PM by Board member Charles Friedberg and seconded by Board member Dr. Frank Lapetina. All members present approved.

**Conservation Board
Minutes of Meeting
August 12, 2008**

Page 29

The next regular meeting of the Conservation Board will be held at the Town House on August 26, 2008.

Subsequent Conservation Board meetings are tentatively scheduled to be held at the Town House on September 9, 2008 and September 23, 2008 respectively.

Respectfully submitted,

Rosetta Davis
Secretary
Conservation Board

Cc: Town Board
Town Clerk
Town Engineer
Town Planner
Planning Board
Zoning Board
Open Space Committee
Architectural Review Advisory Board
Landmark Committee